

# Sparse $\ell_1$ - $\ell_1$ Multi-Tensor Imaging at the Price of DTI

Michael Paquette\*, Maxime Descoteaux\*

\* Sherbrooke Connectivity Imaging Lab (SCIL), Computer Science department, Université de Sherbrooke

## I. INTRODUCTION

Why use diffusion datasets to reconstruct the whole ODF if all we really need for some applications (ex. tractography) are the orientation of a few diffusion peaks? This question is even more important if we are limited to clinical applications for which only there is only time to acquire a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)-like dataset (with 6 to 32 diffusion measurements). A more intuitive approach to make the best of the little data we have would be to fit a few one-peak model at each voxel. The diffusion tensor can be used as one such model [1]. In our technique, we then use a  $\ell_1$  data fitting term with a  $\ell_1$  sparsity regularization to fit the model to the signal. This  $\ell_1$ - $\ell_1$  is new in local diffusion estimation and allows us to use a general purpose linear program solver as opposed to the more common  $\ell_2$ - $\ell_1$  approach [3], [4].

## II. SPARSE MULTI-TENSOR IMAGING

### A. Problem statement

We want to approximate the diffusion signal  $S$  at q-points  $g_k$  with a sum of tensor  $T_i$ ,

$$S_k = S_0 \sum_{i=1}^M f_i e^{-bg_k^T T_i g_k} + \epsilon,$$

where  $S_0$  is the signal without diffusion weighting,  $f_i$  is the relative volume fraction of tensor  $T_i$ ,  $M$  is the number of compartment,  $b$  is the diffusion sensitization strength and  $\epsilon$  is the noise. We re-write our signal approximation as  $S/S_0 = Uf + \epsilon$ , where  $U$  is our dictionary. We then search for a sparse and non-negative  $f^*$  so that  $Uf^*$  fits as best as possible the measured signal  $S$ . To do so, we solve the  $\ell_1$ - $\ell_1$  problem

$$f^* = \arg \min_{f \geq 0} [\|Uf - S\|_1 + \gamma \|f\|_1],$$

where  $\gamma$  is the sparsity regularization constant used to adjust the trade-off between sparsity and data fitting.

### B. Building the dictionary

We build the dictionary  $U$  from q-points  $g_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  uniformly spaced on one q-space shell (for one  $b$ -value), points  $p_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, D$  evenly spaced on a half-sphere and tensor  $T$ . The  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of the dictionary is the value of the diffusion defined by tensor  $T$  rotated at directions  $p_j$  at the q-points  $g_i$  i.e.  $U_{ij} = e^{-bg_i^T T_j g_i}$ , where  $T_j$  is  $T$  aligned to  $p_j$ .

### C. Optimization

To solve the  $\ell_1$ - $\ell_1$  problem we re-casted it as a linear program [2] and used MATLAB's *LINPROG*. We used a fixed  $\gamma$  for the first pass and re-launched the optimization with different  $\gamma$  until  $f^*$  satisfied a norm concentration criterion

$$\frac{\|f_\alpha^*\|_1}{\|f^*\|_1} \geq \beta,$$

where  $f_\alpha^* = \text{Thresh}_\alpha(f^*)$  (coefficients smaller than  $\alpha$  are set to zero) and  $\beta$  is the minimum proportion of  $f^*$   $\ell_1$ -norm concentrated

in it's few big coefficients i.e. the coefficients of  $f_\alpha^*$ . Once  $f^*$  is determined, we first threshold it to remove the unwanted small components  $f_\alpha^* = \text{Thresh}_\alpha(f^*)$ . We then look at each maxima 2 by 2 and eliminate those with an angular distance smaller than  $\delta$  as they are most likely representing the same diffusion peak. Sometimes the resulting vector  $f_{\alpha,\delta}^*$  still has more peaks than a fixed  $\mu$ , if so we remove the smallest intensity peak until we reach  $\mu$ .

## III. ISBI HARDI CONTEST

To fit the specific parameters of the contest, the maximum number of compartment  $\mu$  was set to 3 and the tensor  $T$  used to build the dictionary had eigenvalues  $[\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3] = [1.7 \ 0.3 \ 0.3] \times 10^{-3}$ . The other parameters were tuned independently for each signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) (10, 20, 30) to first maximize the number of voxels where the right number of compartment was found and then to minimize the angular error. On the training data, we varied simulations with  $N = 12, \dots, 50$  and  $b$ -values = 500, ..., 1500. We found no significant amelioration of peak detection with high  $b$ -values while the angular error was affected by the SNR drop at higher  $b$ -values. The optimal trade-off between correct number of peaks and angle accuracy was obtained for low  $b$ -value and a low number of diffusion measurements. Therefore, the dataset asked for the ISBI contest was similar to a standard DTI with  $N = 24$  diffusion measurements and  $b = 750$  s/mm<sup>2</sup>.

The final parameters were carefully set as  $D = 500$  (dictionary of size 500 tensors), initial  $\gamma = 5$ ,  $\beta = 0.5$ ,  $\delta = 28.65^\circ$  and  $[\alpha_{10} \ \alpha_{20} \ \alpha_{30}] = [0.15 \ 0.13 \ 0.11]$ . These parameters, especially  $D$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\alpha$  have a huge impact on the quality of the reconstruction as they define the maximum angular resolution of the method while controlling the over-fitting (big noise peak where a tensor is fitted) and the under-fitting (true small peak discarded as noise). To estimate the volume fraction, we used the values of  $f_{\alpha,\delta}^*$  normalized to sum to one. Since we also needed an ODF estimation for the contest, we further refined our estimation by trying different combinations of  $[\lambda_1 \ \lambda_2 \ \lambda_3]$  in the contest's range ( $\lambda_1 \in [1, 2] \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 \in [0.1, 0.6] \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $FA \in [0.75, 0.9]$ ) for each direction of  $f_{\alpha,\delta}^*$  and chose the ones that minimized the residual with respect to the measured signal. We then used the analytical tensor ODF formula provided by the contest.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Landman, B. a, Bogovic, J. a, Wan, H., Elshahaby, F. E. Z., Bazin, P.-L., and Prince, J. L. "Resolution of crossing fibers with constrained compressed sensing using diffusion tensor MRI". *NeuroImage*, 59(3), 2175-2186, 2012.
- [2] Fu, H., k, Ng, M., Nikolova, M., I, Barlow, J., "Efficient Minimization Methods of Mixed l2-l1 and l1-l1 Norms for Image Restoration". *SIAM J. Scientific Computing*, 27(6), 1881-1902, 2006.
- [3] Menzel, M. I., Tan, E. T., Khare, K., Sperl, J. I., King, K. F., Tao, X., Hardy, C. J., and Marinelli, L. "Accelerated diffusion spectrum imaging in the human brain using compressed sensing". *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 66(5), 1226-33, 2011.
- [4] Merlet, S., and Deriche, R. (2010). "Compressed Sensing for Accelerated EAP Recovery in Diffusion MRI". *Computational diffusion MRI workshop, MICCAI* (pp. 14-25). Beijing, China.