Collaborative patch-based super-resolution for diffusion-weighted images
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new single image acquisition super-resolution method is proposed to increase image resolution of diffusion weighted (DW) images. Based on a nonlocal patch-based strategy, the proposed method uses a non-diffusion image (b0) to constrain the reconstruction of DW images. An extensive validation is presented with a gold standard built on averaging 10 high-resolution DW acquisitions. A comparison with classical interpolation methods such as trilinear and B-spline demonstrates the competitive results of our proposed approach in terms of improvements on image reconstruction, fractional anisotropy (FA) estimation, generalized FA and angular reconstruction for tensor and high angular resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) models. Besides, first results of reconstructed ultra high resolution DW images are presented at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ using our gold standard based on the average of 10 acquisitions, and on a single acquisition. Finally, fiber tracking results show the potential of the proposed super-resolution approach to accurately analyze white matter brain architecture.
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Introduction

Diffusion weighted (DW) imaging enables the reconstruction of information revealing the shape, the coherence and the integrity of brain tissue microstructure which can be indirectly analyzed through the assessment of motion of water molecules. This technique has been applied widely for in vivo analysis of white matter architecture (Assaf, 2008; Basser et al., 1994; Jbabdi et al., 2010) and has recently been applied to the study of gray matter (Sagi et al., 2012). Moreover, Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) has been used to study a large range of neurological diseases such as Alzheimer’s dementia (Huang et al., 2007) and Parkinson’s disease (Gattellaro et al., 2009), or to quantify other causes of tissue degradations such as cancer (Price et al., 2003) and aging (Hsu et al., 2010). Despite its interesting properties, DW imaging is an inherently low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) technique and yields to relatively poor spatial resolution. In clinical conditions, the DWI is characterized by a low SNR due to the diffusion-weighting of MR signal, the use of EPI scanning of Fourier space and the T2 decay.

It has been shown that the noise corrupting DW images introduces a bias in diffusion parameter estimation (Basser et al., 1994) and that the partial volume effect (PVE) due to limited spatial resolution introduces a bias in DW imaging analysis (Alexander et al., 2001; Oouchi et al., 2007). Therefore, to improve the sensitivity of studies based on DW imaging, the use of high resolution (HR) or ultrahigh resolution (UHR) DW images with high SNR has to be considered. Such HR and UHR DW images with high SNR could provide a better sensitivity for the study of brain microstructure (Mori and van Zijl, 2002; Mukherjee et al., 2008; Zeineh et al., 2012). Moreover, these images could play an important role in fiber tractography to enable tracking of finer bundles or to better handle the fiber crossing problem (Dyrby et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2011; Raffelt et al., 2012). However, the acquisition of such HR or UHR images remains a challenging problem in clinical conditions since improvement in resolution is obtained at the cost of either lower SNR, longer acquisition time or both. Indeed, to increase resolution at the acquisition stage, higher frequencies in k-space are sampled. In addition to increase the acquisition time, the reduced voxel size results in lower SNR and thus usually requires the averaging of several acquisitions that will even more increase the acquisition time. Therefore, such approaches are limited in clinical applications. For instance, five days of scanning followed by the averaging of five acquisitions were required in Miller et al. (2011) to obtain post-mortem UHR DW images with high SNR at 0.73 × 0.73 × 0.73 mm³. To limit acquisition time, less efficient approaches can be used to obtain UHR DW images such as zero padding in k-space. For instance, one hour was required to obtain average seven acquisitions in Zeineh et al. (2012) to construct HR DW images at 1.4 × 1.4 × 1.4 mm³ reconstructed at 0.7 × 0.7 × 0.7 mm³ using zero-padding. However zero-padding is similar to performing interpolation in image space and is known to introduce blur and diminish contrast (Van Reeth et al., 2012).

To enable acquisition of HR images without long acquisition times, super-resolution (SR) acquisition techniques have been investigated in the past (initially proposed for MRI and more recently for DWI). Such methods are based on the combination of multiple low
resolution (LR) images to reconstruct an HR image. Methods using sub-pixel shifting strategies have been proposed in the in-plane dimension (Peled and Yeshurun, 2001). It was however shown by Greenspan et al. (2002) that it does not provide any resolution enhancement because in-plane shifting is equivalent to a global phase shift in k-space. Therefore, Greenspan et al. (2002) suggested the performing of sub-pixel shifting in the slice direction.

Another possible strategy consists in fusing several anisotropic acquisitions with a high in-plane resolution only along one axis. Inspired by works on fetal imaging (Clouchoux et al., 2010; Chollipour et al., 2010; Rousseau et al., 2006), the fusion of 3 orthogonal acquisitions has been recently applied to DW images (Scherrer et al., 2012).

This strategy was then extended to arbitrary orientations (Poot et al., 2010) and applied to DW images (Poot et al., 2012). Reviews and comparisons of some of these methods can be found in Plenge et al. (2012), Van Reeth et al. (2012).

In contrast to SR acquisition techniques that require specific acquisition protocols of multiple LR images, there exists also a category of single image SR methods. While in the literature the term super-resolution is used for both categories, they derived from different paradigms since single image SR techniques are pure post-processing methods and thus are totally independent from the acquisition protocol. Therefore, these methods can be combined with SR acquisition techniques based on multiple LR images. A review of single image SR techniques for natural images can be found in van Ooijerkerk (2006). Recently such post-processing, single image, super-resolution methods have been proposed for MRI (Manjon et al., 2010a,b; Rousseau, 2008, 2010). The main idea is to use the image content to reconstruct information at higher-resolution. To the best of our knowledge, such approaches have not yet been applied to DW images.

Finally, in a different way, a new concept of the SR problem for DW imaging has been proposed in Calamante et al. (2010), (2012a,b); Nedjati-Gilani et al. (2008). Instead of increasing image resolution, the authors proposed to reconstruct an HR density map by using the extra information resulting from tractography. By using neighborhood information contained in the streamlines, intra-voxel density can be estimated with higher spatial resolution than that of the source DW image to obtain super-resolution track-density images. However, this type of method is built on approaches that are similar to tractography methods and thus do not reconstruct high frequency content of images (Scherrer et al., 2012).

In this paper, we investigate the possibility to increase the spatial resolution of DW images using single image SR methods. By applying this type of image post-processing approach on classical DW images (i.e., with voxel sizes typically around 2 × 2 × 2 mm³), HR DW images can be obtained directly. Moreover, by using HR DW image acquisition, ultra-high resolution DW image can be reconstructed. In this study, we first validate the reconstruction of 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm² DW images from 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm² DW images using a HR DWI gold standard (at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm²). Then, we investigate the possibility to reconstruct UHR DW images by applying the proposed SR method on our HR gold standard obtained by averaging several acquisitions to improve SNR. Finally, preliminary results of UHR DW images obtained in a compatible clinical time (i.e., using one acquisition acquired in less than 6 min) are presented. The advantages and limitations of our method are finally discussed in the last section.

Materials and methods

Patch-based super-resolution

In this paper, we propose a new method that directly increases the spatial resolution of the image by reconstructing high frequency information using the self-similarity property of images. The self-similarity property of images has been first investigated for image denoising purposes (Buades et al., 2005). Such an approach considers that two pixels with similar neighborhoods can be viewed as two realizations of the same process. By averaging a large number of pixels considered as belonging to similar realizations, the original process can be accurately reconstructed. The recognized efficiency of this type of nonlocal patch-based approach is mainly due to the high degree of pattern redundancy present in natural images. This fact enables the extraction of many realizations of a given process from the image itself. This self-similarity property has been recently verified for anatomical MRI (Coupé et al., 2008; Manjon et al., 2008) and DWI (Descoteaux et al., 2008; Wiest-Daessle et al., 2008) for denoising tasks.

Such redundancy-based or self-similarity-based priors on image content can be also applied to many other purposes such as super-resolution. In fact, the nonlocal patch-based framework is particularly well-suited to SR since the multiple image acquisitions can be replaced by patch comparison within the image itself to find multiple realizations of the same process, therefore, Patch-Based Super-resolution methods (PB SR) have been proposed successfully for natural images (Kindermann et al., 2005; Lou et al., 2010; Mignotte, 2008; Peyré et al., 2008; Piot et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010b).

Recently, PB SR methods have been introduced and validated for MRI (Manjon et al., 2010a,b; Rousseau, 2008, 2010). PB SR was first proposed for multimodal reconstruction by Rousseau in (2008). The main idea is to use an HR image to drive the reconstruction of an LR image from another modality (e.g., using a HR T1-w to reconstruct an LR T2-w at HR). More recently, this type of approach was extended using a model of the physical acquisition process to improve reconstruction quality (Manjon et al., 2010a). In addition, the possibility to reconstruct HR MRI using only the LR image itself has been investigated for MRI super-resolution (Manjon et al., 2010b; Rousseau, 2010). In this case, only the self-similarity prior is used to drive the reconstruction procedure. Contrary to classical interpolation approaches (linear, cubic, B-spline, ...), which result in blurred reconstructions, the nonlocal patch-based strategy can reconstruct high frequency information by enforcing pattern regularity rather than imposing some smoothness constraint, thus yielding better image quality reconstructions. Since its introduction, this type of prior has demonstrated very high performance compared to classical interpolation methods for MRI.

In this paper, we propose to extend and to adapt the PB SR method to DW data sets. First, we propose a locally adaptive optimization scheme in order to improve the reconstruction quality. Based on a coarse to fine optimization scheme, we use the local image context to drive the reconstruction process. Second, we propose a collaborative approach where the b0 image is used to constrain DW image reconstruction. To do that, the b0 image is reconstructed first to higher resolution using PB SR. Then, each DW image is reconstructed to higher resolution using multimodal PB SR. The contributions of this work are: i) the introduction of a new locally adaptive strategy to improve image reconstruction, ii) the proposition of an original collaborative framework, iii) an extended validation on clinical DW images, iv) the investigation of the impact of our super-resolution method on diffusion parameters and tensor or high angular resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) reconstructions and v) the presentation of our first results of UHR DW images with fiber tracking examples.

\[
y = DHx + 
\]
where $D$ is the decimator operator, $H$ is the expected degradation model between $x$ and $y$, and $\eta$ is the acquisition noise. In the following, the operator $H$ is approximated by a 3D boxcar function as done in Bai et al. (2004), Carmi et al. (2006), Manjon et al. (2010a,b), Rousseau (2010).

The super-resolution process consists in reconstructing the HR image $x$ from LR observation $y$. By using classical smoothness constraint on the reconstructed image, the SR reconstruction problem can be written as:

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min_{x} \left\{ \|y-DHx\|^2 + \gamma \theta(x) \right\}$$

(2)

$\theta(x)$ is the regularization term containing our prior on the image content and $\gamma$ is the regularization parameter controlling the balance between the fidelity term and the regularization term. As shown in Manjon et al. (2010a,b), Rousseau (2008), (2010), an efficient way to define the regularization term is to use nonlocal patch-based approach:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{i \in \Omega} |x_i - \psi(x_i)|^2$$

(3)

where $\Omega$ is the image grid of $x$ and $\psi$ is the nonlocal means estimator defined as follows:

$$\psi(x_i) = \sum_{j \in W(x, x_i)} w(x_i, x_j) x_j$$ with $w(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{Z_i} \exp \left( - \frac{\|N(x_i) - N(x_j)\|^2}{h^2} \right)$$

(4)

where $w(x_i, x_j)$ is the weight assigned to $x_j$ in the reconstruction of $x_i$. This weight depends on the similarity between the neighborhood $N(x_i)$ (i.e., 3D patches) of $x_i$ and the neighborhood $N(x(j))$ of $x_j$. The constant $Z_i$ ensures that the sum of the weights is equal to 1. The similarity between patches is estimated within a restricted search volume $V_i$ (i.e., a cube volume centered on position $i$). As for MRI denoising (Coupe et al., 2008), we observed that using search volume larger than $7 \times 7 \times 7$ voxels only marginally improved results while dramatically increasing computational time. We therefore use neighborhoods of $7 \times 7 \times 7$ voxels here.

In Manjon et al. (2010b), it has been shown that such a SR process can be iteratively solved by alternating i) nonlocal means reconstruction and ii) mean consistency correction. In this strategy, the regularization term is first computed using Eq. (4). The fidelity term is then applied as a constraint which ensures coherence with the physical model of acquisition:

$$y_p - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} x_i = 0, \forall p \in y.$$  

(5)

In other words, the sub sampling consistency (Banerjee and Jawahar, 2008) imposes that the average of the $L$ reconstructed values $x_i$ must be equal to the corresponding original value of the LR image (i.e., $H$ is a boxcar). This is done for all the locations $p$ in the LR image by locally adding a corrective offset to the reconstructed values. For example, an upsampling factor of 2 along each axis (i.e., upsampling factor of [2 2 2]) forces the average of the $L = 8$ reconstructed values to be equal to the corresponding original LR value. As shown in Eq. (1), this constraint is consistent when the noise component is removed. Therefore, the LR image is first denoised with a Rician-adapted denoising filter (Manjon et al., 2012) before performing the reconstruction process. Other point spread functions, i.e., a Gaussian function, could be used for the $H$ operator instead of using a boxcar function (Greenspan, 2009). This would require simply adapting Eq. (5) by using an appropriately weighted average where the weights would be derived from the considered point spread function.

Finally, this two-step iterative process can be summed up with Eqs. (6) and (7) and is applied until convergence:

$$x_i^{t+1} = \frac{1}{Z_i} \sum_{j \in v_i} k_j \exp \left\{ - \frac{\|N(x_i^t) - N(x_j^t)\|^2}{h^2} \right\}$$

(6)

$$x_i^{t+1} = x_i^{t} - \beta \left( \frac{\langle N(x_i^t) - x_i^t \rangle}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

(7)

where $\beta$ is the learning rate, $\sigma$ is the local variance of $x_i$ and its value is decreased during convergence $\beta_t = \beta / \sqrt{t}$. For a given voxel $x_i$, the reconstruction is stopped when $\sigma_t < \epsilon$. In this manner, more time is dedicated to the reconstruction of edges than to the reconstruction of constant areas since in constant areas the initial value of $\sigma_t$ is very low. Moreover, slowly decreasing the filtering parameter on the edges limits the introduction of artifacts and prevents the convergence of the reconstruction process to local minima.

**CLASR: collaborative and locally adaptive super-resolution**

As mentioned above, it is possible to improve SR reconstruction by using HR priors from another image. Originally proposed for the SR reconstruction of LR T2-w data using HR T1-w MRI, we propose to apply this type of approach to DW imaging. Here, we first propose to reconstruct the $b0$ image using CLASR and then to use this reconstructed HR $b0$ image to drive the reconstruction of the individual DW images. By adding $b0$ image priors, the reconstruction process will be more constrained and thus limit the introduction of artifacts. Therefore, the reconstruction process of a DW image can be rewritten as follows:

$$x_i^{\text{b0}}(\text{dw}) = \frac{1}{Z_{i(\text{b0})}} \sum_{j \in v_i} k_j^{\text{b0}}(\text{dw}) \exp \left\{ - \frac{\|N(x_i^{\text{b0}}) - N(x_j^{\text{b0}})\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

(9)

where $b0$ and $\text{dw}$ indicate the image type. By using Eq. (9), higher weights are given to voxels with similar neighborhoods in the $b0$ image and at the same time with similar neighborhoods in the DW image under study. When a structure is present only in one of either images, the reconstruction process will be driven mainly by the image containing the most suitable information since the weights derived from the other image are non discriminating (i.e., all voxels have similar weights in homogeneous areas). The robustness of this strategy to signal discrepancies in both images has been studied in case of multiple sclerosis lesions, where lesions appear differently in T1w.
and T2w MRI, and for brain tumor where lesion appears differently in T1w and FLAIR MRI (Manjon et al., 2010a; Rousseau, 2010).

Similarly, in our case, both images will be used during the reconstruction of tissue borders (e.g., edges between white matter and gray matter) since both images share signal properties (see Fig. 1). In white matter, where the signal properties can differ in both images due to the information derived from gradient sensitization, DW images will be used for reconstruction since mainly constant areas will be found in b0 image (i.e., all the weights derived from b0 image will be similar). Finally, when a structure appears in b0 image while corresponding to a constant area in DW image, the reconstruction process will average voxels $x_{j,\text{dw}}$ with similar intensities (whatever the weights derived from b0 image are) and thus will preserve the original intensity of the DW image.

In our method, the filtering parameters are automatically and locally adapted. During our experiment, we tried to add a balance parameter to adjust the importance of b0 and DW image as done in Rousseau (2010). However, this strategy decreased the image reconstruction quality.

Proposed processing pipeline

In order to apply CLASR reconstruction, several pre-processing steps are required. The proposed pipeline consists in the following steps:

1. **Denoising**: As already mentioned, the consistency constraint on mean preservation (see Eq. (5)) can be applied only when noise component is removed. Therefore, the first step of our pipeline is to denoise the entire 4D DWI volume using the recently proposed LPCA filter (Manjon et al., 2012). This filter is able to deal with non-stationary noise typically present in DW images and has demonstrated high performance compared to state-of-the-art methods (Tristan-Vega and Aja-Fernandez, 2008; Wiest-Daessle et al., 2008).

   - **Robust fusion of b0 images**: In the case where several b0 images are available, we propose to fuse them using a sharp mean approach (Coupe et al., 2010). This type of fusion strategy limits blurring artifacts inherent to any averaging processes by using a robust estimator.

   - **LASR reconstruction of b0 images**: First, the initialization of b0 image reconstruction is obtained by using trilinear interpolation with the upsampling factor defined by the user (typically [2 2 2] or [3 3 3]). Then, the local variances of the b0 image (or fused b0 images) are estimated for the local adaptation of the filtering parameter. Finally, the HR b0 image is reconstructed using Eqs. (7) and (8), iterating until convergence is reached.

   - **CLASR reconstruction of DW images**: The process is similar to LASR reconstruction of b0 image except that Eq. (9) is used in place of Eq. (8) where the HR b0 image obtained at the previous step is used as prior. This is done for all the DW images over the 4D DWI volume.

Validation framework

Construction of the gold standard

The advantage of using PBSR compared to interpolation has been widely investigated on synthetic images. Here, we wanted to study the PBSR capabilities in more clinical conditions (i.e., on realistic brain geometry, with real Rician and non-stationary noise, including acquisition artifacts such as patient’s motion and eddy current distortion). Therefore, in order to validate the proposed approach, we constructed a gold standard based on a set of in-vivo HR DWI images. To do that, we averaged 10 acquisitions of high resolution DW images in the image space ($1.2 \times 1.2 \times 1.2$ mm$^3$). First, high resolution DW images were used to ensure that the down-sampling of our gold standard yields a typical clinical DW image resolution (i.e., $2.4 \times 2.4 \times 2.4$ mm$^3$ using a factor 2 along each axis). Second, 10 repetitions were averaged to ensure a very high SNR for our gold standard (see Fig. 2). The advantages of performing image processing validation on DW gold standard instead of using synthetic data has been already demonstrated for DW image denoising purposes (Tristan-Vega and Aja-Fernandez, 2009).

DWI data sets were acquired using a 3 T MR scanner (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems) equipped with a gradient system providing a maximum gradient strength of 40 mT/m and with an 8-channel...
phased array head coil. A standard spin-echo EPI pulse sequence with sensitivity encoding (SENSE) was used to acquire DWI data (b-value: 700 s/mm²; 21 diffusion directions) with the following parameters: TE/TR ~ 60/8000 ms; FOV = 215 × 215 × 85 mm²; matrix size = 172 × 172 with 68 slices and a spatial resolution at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³. The acquisition duration for one DWI data set was 5 min 40 s (see left of Fig. 2).

Finally, the gold standard construction was achieved by first denoising all the 10 DW images with the LPCA filter (Manjon et al., 2012) (see middle of Fig. 2) and then by fusing the 10 denoised DW images using the robust a mean estimator (Coupe et al., 2010). The LR images used during experiment were simulated by down-sampling our gold standard by a factor 2 using nearest neighbor interpolation along each axis (i.e., [2 2 2]) what resulted in simulated LR images of 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm³ (see Fig. 4).

Methods compared

To evaluate the quality of image reconstruction, we compared the proposed approach with trilinear interpolation, tricubic interpolation and third order B-spline interpolation. Moreover, to analyze the advantage of LASR compared to previously proposed PBSR, the original upsampling PBSR method described in Manjon et al. (2010b) was also included in the comparison.

To evaluate the impact of interpolation and super-resolution methods on diffusion parameters and tensor and HARDI reconstructions, we compared CLASR with trilinear and third order B-spline interpolation methods, since these methods have been recently introduced for DW image resolution enhancement in literature. For instance, trilinear interpolation was used in Tournier et al. (2012) and B-spline was used in Dyrbø et al. (2011), Raffelt et al. (2012).

Quality metrics for image reconstruction

To evaluate the quality of reconstruction two different metrics were used, the usual Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and the Structural SIMilarity (SSIM) index (Wang et al., 2004). These quality measures were estimated between the reconstructed HR images and the gold standard within a brain mask. The brain mask was estimated first with BET (Smith, 2002) on the b₀ image of the gold standard. Due to bad quality of brain extraction, it was manually corrected using ITK-SNAP (Yushkevich et al., 2006). Then, this 3D mask was applied to each DW image to compute PSNR and SSIM.

Comparison of diffusion parameters

To compare the Fractional Anisotropy (FA) and generalized FA (GFA) obtained with the methods compared, we used Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) within the brain mask previously described and for FA values > 0.2 (i.e., for white matter approximation). The FA maps were computed with FSL as described in Smith et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Trilinear</th>
<th>Tricubic</th>
<th>B-spline</th>
<th>Upsampling</th>
<th>LASR</th>
<th>CLASR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSNR in dB</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>39.54</td>
<td>39.86</td>
<td>40.15</td>
<td>40.44</td>
<td>40.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSSIM</td>
<td>0.9515</td>
<td>0.9554</td>
<td>0.9578</td>
<td>0.9613</td>
<td>0.9621</td>
<td>0.9649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Average PSNR and MSSIM estimated between the gold standard and the images reconstructed using the methods compared.

Fig. 3. PSNR and MSSIM estimated between the gold standard at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³ and the images reconstructed at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³ from the simulated LR image at 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm³. Top: plots show the PNSR and MSSIM for the methods compared. Bottom: plots show the improvement in terms of PSNR and MSSIM compared to trilinear interpolation used as baseline.

Please cite this article as: Coupé, P., et al., Collaborative patch-based super-resolution for diffusion-weighted images, Neuroimage (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.06.030
Comparison of tensor estimation and orientation distribution function (ODF) estimation

Tensor and ODF reconstructions were evaluated using angular distributions estimated between reconstructed DW images and the gold standard. First, from the diffusion tensor field and principal eigenvector orientation computed with FSL, mean and standard deviation (std) angular error were estimated against the gold standard. Diffusion tensors are visualized as ellipsoids.

Then, the field of constant solid angle ODFs (csa-ODF) was estimated using spherical harmonics (SH) order 4 and lambda regularization of 0.006 (Aganj et al., 2010; Tristan-Vega et al., 2010). From this csa-ODF field, the generalized FA (GFA) was computed and compared with gold standard using RMSE. The GFA corresponds to the ratio of the standard deviation of the ODF over its root mean square (Tuch, 2004). ODFs are visualized as a deformed spherical function, i.e., the radius of the sphere is scaled according to its value on the sphere.

Finally, the field of fiber ODFs (fODFs) was estimated using MRtrix (Tournier et al., 2012) with SH order 4 using a single fiber response function estimated from voxels with FA > 0.7 and other default parameters. Angular errors for the compared methods were estimated on the field of fODF. For fODF maxima extraction, a discrete search over 4000

---

Fig. 4. Example of diffusion weighted image reconstruction obtained for several methods. Top: The gold standard at 1.2 x 1.2 x 1.2 mm$^3$ and the simulated LR image at 2.4 x 2.4 x 2.4 mm$^3$. Bottom: the trilinear reconstruction, the 3rd order B-spline reconstruction and the results obtained using CLASR.

Fig. 5. Impact of the SNR of b0 image on CLASR reconstruction quality. Plots show the improvement in terms of PSNR and MSSIM compared to LASR method used as baseline.
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points on the sphere is used to extract maxima using the find_SH_peaks command in MRtrix. Then, we used an in-house angular error measure on these maxima based on the implementation provided by the HARDI reconstruction ISBI challenge 2012 (http://hardi.epfl.ch/static/events/2012_ISBI/index.html).

Implementation details

All our experiments were performed using MATLAB 7.4 (MathWorks Inc.) on a 6-core Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU W3670 at 3.2 GHz with 16 GB RAM. Both LASR and CLASR were implemented using multithreaded C-MEX. Image intensities were scaled linearly between 0 and 255 and rescaled to original intensity range after processing. The patch size used was $3 \times 3 \times 3$ voxels and the size of search volume $V_i$ was $7 \times 7 \times 7$ voxels during all the experiments. The global stopping criterion for convergence was defined as:

$$\frac{x^t - x^{t-1}}{x^{t-1} - x^{t-2}} \leq \tau$$

where $\tau$ was set to 1.2. In addition, for the local stopping criterion $\sigma_t < \mu - \epsilon$ was set to 0.1. As done for denoising (Coupé et al., 2008), we also used patch pre-selection based on local mean to avoid useless computation. The used condition was defined as:

$$|\mu_i - \mu_j| \leq \delta \sigma_t$$

where $\mu_i$ is the mean value of the patch $N_i$ and $\delta$ was empirically set to 0.6 since providing good balance between computational time and reconstruction quality. When this condition is not true, the weight $w(x_i, x_j)$ is directly set to 0.

The processing times to reconstruct an HR image at $1.2 \times 1.2 \times 1.2$ mm$^3$ from a simulated LR image at $2.4 \times 2.4 \times 2.4$ mm$^3$ was approximately 60 s with LASR for $b_0$ image and 130 s with CLASR for each DW image. However, a number of other optimizations can be performed to reduce the processing time. For example, simply avoiding computations in the background where no useful information is obtained can drastically reduce the computation time. Moreover, GPU implementation of the proposed methods can be achieved, as done for denoising based on nonlocal means (Palhano Xavier de Fontes et al., 2011) to reach near real time computation.

Results

Methods comparison

Quality of Image reconstruction

Table 1 and Fig. 3 present PSNR and Mean Structural SIMilarity (MSSIM) obtained by the methods compared. To facilitate comparisons, the improvement in terms of PSNR and MSSIM is also shown using trilinear interpolation as baseline.

As already shown on synthetic images in previous studies, PBSR approaches outperform classical interpolation methods. Moreover, B-spline interpolation produced higher PSNR than trilinear and tricubic interpolations. CLASR obtained the highest reconstruction quality in all the studied cases with an average PSNR improvement of 1.6 dB compared to trilinear interpolation. In addition, CLASR proposed similar improvement for $b_0$ image (first point of the series) than for DW images while with LASR the improvement for DW images is lower than the...
Improvement for $b_0$ image. This highlights the advantage of using $b_0$ image priors to reconstruct diffusion weighted images. It is also interesting to note that LASR obtained better results than non-adaptive upsampling PBSR method described in Manjon et al. (2010b). This shows the advantage to locally adapt the filtering parameter compared to global setting.

Fig. 4 shows reconstruction of a DW image for trilinear interpolation (used as baseline), for the best interpolation method (B-spline) and the best PBSR method (CLASR). Visually, the reconstruction produced by CLASR is closer to the gold standard. Moreover, CLASR produced less blurred reconstruction with less block-like artifacts compared to usual interpolations. Finally, B-spline interpolation produced images with less block-like artifacts compared to trilinear interpolation.

Impact of the choice of priors on image reconstruction

To investigate the advantage of using $b_0$ image priors more deeply, we performed two additional experiments. First, we designed an experiment to analyze the role of the high SNR of $b_0$ image (i.e., higher than DW images) in CLASR reconstruction. Second, we proposed an experiment to study the role of the additional image-features provided by $b_0$ image priors compared to DW image priors.

The first experiment consisted in comparing the reconstruction quality of CLASR when using high SNR $b_0$ image and low SNR $b_0$ image. A first data set was built by adding synthetic Rician noise to the simulated LR image to obtain usual higher SNR for $b_0$ image than for DW images. A second data set was built by forcing the SNR of $b_0$ image to be equal to the SNR of DWI. The intensity range of $b_0$ image was scaled to the intensity of DWI before adding the same synthetic Rician noise as previously. Both data sets were then processed with the proposed pipeline including the denoising step. In addition to CLASR reconstruction, LASR reconstruction was achieved on both data sets to be used as baseline in order to remove differences resulting from denoising step. Fig. 5 shows the results of the experiment in terms of PSNR and MSSIM improvements compared to LASR method. As expected, when using $b_0$ image with lower SNR as priors, the quality of reconstruction decreased since the denoised $b_0$ image had lower image quality. More interestingly, the CLASR reconstruction using low SNR $b_0$ image still outperformed LASR reconstruction. It seems that in addition to the advantage of the higher SNR of $b_0$ image compared to LASR reconstruction.
to DW image, b0 image priors also provide useful additional image features that help in reconstructing DW images.

In a second experiment, we compared the CLASR reconstruction using b0 image as a prior and the first DW image as a prior. The DW image used as prior was removed from data sets of DW images to be reconstructed. Fig. 6 shows the results of the experiment in terms of PSNR and MSSIM improvements compared to LASR method for both data sets. By using b0 image priors better reconstruction quality was obtained. This indicates that b0 image content provides more useful information than DW image content to reconstruct other DW images. This might be attributable to a better tissue contrast in b0 image than in DW images (see Fig. 1).

Impact of misalignment on image reconstruction quality

As mentioned previously, DW data sets can be corrupted by motion artifacts and geometric distortions, making the alignment assumption of b0 and DW images used by CLASR no longer valid. Therefore, we studied the impact of misalignments on the quality of reconstruction obtained with CLASR. First, the displacements between b0 and DW images were obtained with FSL eddy current correction (Smith et al., 2004). The estimated mean displacements in mm are presented in Fig. 7. Then, we analyzed the relationship between image quality in terms of PSNR and the estimated mean displacements. We did not find any significant linear relationship between the quality of CLASR reconstruction and the estimated mean displacements. This result demonstrates the robustness of CLASR to limited misalignments.

Impact of misalignment correction on image reconstruction quality

We also studied the impact of performing distortion correction on image reconstruction quality. First, our gold standard was corrected by applying the FSL eddy current correction (see Fig. 8). This correction consists in performing an affine registration of DW images to b0 image and then using trilinear interpolation to match the images. Finally, the first experiment (see Fig. 3) was redone using the obtained corrected gold standard.

Fig. 9 shows the PSNR and the MSSIM obtained for the methods compared to the corrected gold standard. In all the studied cases, CLASR provided the highest reconstruction quality with an average improvement of 1.9 dB in terms of PSNR compared to trilinear interpolation. For the b0 image (i.e., first point of the series) results similar to those presented in Fig. 3 were obtained since b0 image was not impacted by the correction procedure. For DW images, we can observe an increase of PSNR and MSSIM values compared to Fig. 3 for all

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trilinear B-spline CLASR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>1.63 × 10⁻¹ 1.85 × 10⁻¹ 1.12 × 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trilinear B-spline CLASR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensor</td>
<td>1.75 ± 5.55° 1.46 ± 4.83° 1.42 ± 4.41°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber ODFs</td>
<td>2.01 ± 5.05° 92.9% 1.96 + 4.90° 93.6% 1.76 ± 4.55° 93.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Impact on fractional anisotropy (FA)

Table 2 presents the RMSE of the FA over the white matter for trilinear interpolation, B-spline interpolation and CLASR. CLASR obtained the smallest reconstruction error for this diffusion parameter. As expected from previous results, the reconstructed images with PBSR methods produced FA maps closer to the FA map obtained with the gold standard compared to the interpolation methods. More interestingly, while B-spline interpolation obtained higher PSNR and SSIM than trilinear interpolations for DW image reconstruction (see Fig. 3), B-spline interpolation obtained worse results for FA reconstruction. It seems that B-spline interpolation created artifacts that adversely impact FA estimation. Fig. 10 shows FA maps estimated from the gold standard, the simulated LR image and the reconstructed DWI images using the different methods compared. Visually, the FA map obtained using CLASR is closer to the FA of the gold standard. Finally, the artifacts introduced by B-spline are visible on strong edges such as in the anterior part of the lateral ventricle boundaries. This type of artifact is expected when using high order interpolation methods on quickly varying intensities. As can be noticed in Fig. 10, no such artifacts are created when using the CLASR method.

Impact on generalized FA, tensor estimation and ODF estimation

Table 3 presents the RMSE of the GFA over the white matter between the gold standard and the reconstructed images. The GFA was estimated using constant solid angle ODFs. CLASR obtained the smallest error of reconstruction for this diffusion parameter. Moreover, trilinear interpolation obtained better GFA reconstruction than B-spline interpolation. This result is in line with previous results on FA (see Table 2).

Table 4 presents the angular error using tensor and fiber ODF models. In all cases studied, CLASR produced the lowest angular error. However, one can note that angular profiles agree closely with the gold standard for all three methods. In the 6–7% of non-agreement, the underestimation/overestimation is on average equal to 1.0, i.e., there is no strong bias towards underestimation or overestimation of single and crossing configurations. Finally, while for FA and GFA the B-spline interpolation provided the worst results, B-spline interpolation better preserved orientation than trilinear interpolation for the angular study.

Fig. 11 presents diffusion tensor estimations around the corpus callosum (CC) for the gold standard and the methods compared. Although all three methods globally preserved tensor orientation, one can notice that some single fiber tensors in the CC were degenerated for trilinear and B-spline interpolations while this did not appear with CLASR. In addition, the reconstruction of the boundary between CC and the ventricle is sharper with CLASR.

Fig. 12 shows the csa-ODF estimations for the three methods and the gold standard used as reference. As for previous results on the tensor model, one can note that some csa-ODFs in the CC are degenerated for trilinear and B-spline interpolations with shape and principal orientation far from those of the gold standard. In addition, the CC of the trilinear and B-spline interpolations has shrunk considerably on the GFA used as background. This may be due to partial volume effect and contamination of the nearby ventricles. This is reflected in the RMSE for the GFA (see Table 3).

In vivo ultra high resolution DW images at 3 T

The limited resolution of in vivo DTI studies (typically, the voxel size of clinical DW images is between $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$ and $2.5 \times 2.5 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$) prevents the investigation of finer brain tracts and are subject to PVEs. As already mentioned, acquisition of HR and UHR DW images yields impractical acquisition times for routine clinical studies. This is related to longer acquisition times required to sample the higher frequencies in k-space, but also related to the limitations of current clinical hardware. The use of CLASR methods would allow the extension of these studies to a larger number of subjects.
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**Fig. 12.** cs-OdF on a central coronal slice, zoomed and centered on the corpus callosum (CC). The HR reconstructed images (1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³) were obtained from LR simulated image (2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm³) using trilinear interpolation, B-spline interpolation and CLASR. The cs-OdF obtained on reconstructed images should be compared with the tensors estimated on the gold standard. Constant solid angle ODF reconstructions were obtained using spherical harmonics of order 4. These cs-OdFs were used to compute the GFA, which is overlaid underneath the ODF glyphs in this figure. The same intensity range is used for all the GFA maps displayed as background.

**Fig. 13.** Colored FA maps of a) the gold standard at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³ (i.e., robust average of 10 acquisitions), b) the super-resolution of the gold standard at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³, and c) the super-resolution of the gold standard at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³. The colored FA maps show the medial lobe temporal structures and are centered on the hippocampal area. Fine details of the multi-layered structure of the hippocampus are better defined after super-resolution.

---
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time dedicated to multiple accumulations required to improve the low SNR of HR images. In this section, we present results of ultra high resolution DW images obtained with CLASR. First, the gold standard (i.e., the average of 10 accumulations) at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³ was reconstructed at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³. Second, in order to show more practical results from a clinical point of view, we also reconstructed ultra-high resolution DW images at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ using a single HR DWI acquisition requiring 5 min 40 s of acquisition time.

Fig. 13 presents the colored FA maps centered on medial temporal lobe of the gold standard at native resolution (i.e., 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³) and reconstructed at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ using CLASR. Visually, more details appear on FA estimated on reconstructed images. For instance, fine details of the multi-layered structure of the hippocampus (HC) are better defined after SR. The HC is one of the key structures involved in the early detection of AD (Coupe et al., 2012; Frisoni et al., 2010). In addition, to illustrate the possibility offered by UHR DW images in brain structure analysis, we performed streamline deterministic tracking (Tournier et al., 2012) on the field of fiber ODF maxima using the real-time feature of the FiberNavigator (Chamberland et al., 2012). Fig. 14 shows a fiber tracking result along the corona radiata obtained on the gold standard. The same seeding region (green box in Fig. 14) in the brainstem was used and the same FA threshold of 0.2 was used as a tracking mask in each image. We note a larger extent of the corona radiata (CR) in the reconstructed volume at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ and 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ when compared to the original gold standard volume at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³. By improving the image resolution, the extent of CR increased as well, demonstrating the interest of using SR on DW images. In this experiment, we limited the reconstruction at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ for practical reasons in order to keep reconstruction time and memory requirement reasonable during fiber tracking.

Fig. 15 shows reconstruction results using only the first acquisition of the 10 datasets used for the gold standard construction. First, as expected, by using one HR acquisition, the SNR of the DW data set was quite low and thus, resulted in a very noisy FA map estimation and fiber tracking result (see Figs. 15 and 16). After denoising, better FA map estimation and fiber tracking were obtained. The denoising filter also removed the intensity bias introduced by Rician noise. This is visible in Fig. 15 where the intensity range of FA maps used as a background changed after denoising. At the end of the pipeline, the reconstruction provided by CLASR reveals fine details not visible on the original noisy HR DWI. Moreover, the multi-layered structure of the HC seems better defined on the reconstruction at 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ when compared to the denoised image at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³. Finally, the combination of the denoising and super-resolution step provided an FA map close to that obtained for the gold standard (see bottom of Fig. 13). As expected, the FA map of the gold standard appears more regular than using a single acquisition. These preliminary results of UHR

![Gold standard at 1.2x1.2x1.2 mm³](image1)

![Gold standard reconstructed at 0.6x0.6x0.6mm³ using CLASR](image2)

![Gold standard reconstructed at 0.4x0.4x0.4mm³ using CLASR](image3)

**Fig. 14.** Deterministic fiber ODF tracking on a) the gold standard at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³, b) the super-resolution of the gold standard at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and c) the super-resolution of the gold standard at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³. The same seeding region in the brainstem was used in each image (green box). A FA threshold of 0.2 is used as tracking mask. We note a larger extent of the corona radiata in the reconstructed images at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ and at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ than in the original gold standard at 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³.
DW images in less than 6 min of acquisition time at 3 T are very promising. We also performed fiber tracking using a single acquisition as previously done for the gold standard. The same seeding region in the brainstem was used and a FA threshold of 0.2 was used as tracking mask. The previous remarks done for FA (see Fig. 15) hold for the fiber tracking results. First, the original noisy HR DW image produced very poor fiber tracking quality (top left of Fig. 16). Then, the denoised HR DW image obviously provided better results although the extent of CR was still limited (top right of Fig. 16). Finally, the fiber tracking based on the reconstructed images resulted in a larger extent of CR. The estimated fibers appear longer and more dense on the reconstructed DW image. The comparison of the quality of the results obtained at 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ (bottom left of Fig. 16) and at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ (bottom right of Fig. 16) is less obvious. At 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³ the extent of the CR is larger, but at 0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4 mm³ it seems to have less false positive fibers. As expected, compared to the results obtained on the gold standard (see Fig. 14), the fiber tracking based on a single acquisition seems more noisy but remains qualitatively close. Finally, in order to verify the reproducibility of our fiber tracking results, we performed the same experiment using the second acquisition of our data set. The results are presented in Fig. 17. As for the first acquisition we observed that fiber tracking is improved after denoising and that a larger extent of CR is obtained after SR. However, while for FA estimation, the use of one acquisition seems to produce satisfying results, the use of 2–3 acquisitions might be required for fiber tracking in order to reveal the full potential of the proposed framework. This aspect will be investigated in future work.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the possibility to increase DW image spatial resolution using a new PBSR method. The method described in this paper is an extension and an adaptation to DW image of recently published PBSR methods that are based on the nonlocal means framework. We showed that using the b0 image as a prior, in combination with a locally adaptive reconstruction scheme, improved the reconstruction quality. In recent literature on natural images, new PBSR methods using sparse regularization (Gao et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2010a) seem promising to improve nonlocal super-resolution results even more. Comparison of nonlocal means and sparse-based strategies for MRI denoising demonstrated a slight advantage of the latter. More interestingly, the combination of both provided very competitive results (Manjon et al., 2012) compared to other state-of-the-art methods. In addition, the collaboration of sparse-based methods and redundancy-based methods currently provides the best results in image denoising for natural images (Dabov et al., 2007). Therefore, we think that this type of collaborative strategy might be an interesting way of investigation for DW image super-resolution.
In this work we studied the impact of the choice of priors on image reconstruction quality. The experiments showed that using high SNR b0 image enables better reconstruction. The advantage of using b0 image is to avoid any additional image acquisitions to save time and thus obviate the need for registration to another modality. In addition, our results suggest that the use of higher quality priors improves reconstruction results. In future work, we will investigate the use of other modalities to drive DW image reconstruction, such as HR T1w and HR T2w images. We think that HR images would provide better priors than the reconstructed HR b0 image using LASR. However, such an approach will require the acquisition of additional HR images and the registration of these images into b0 image space.

The alignment of b0 image and DW image might be corrupted by motion artifacts or/and geometric distortions making Eq. (9) no longer valid. In the case of limited misalignments (e.g., mean displacement inferior to 2 mm), we did not observe a significant decrease of CLASR reconstruction quality. In addition, it has been shown that multimodal PBSR method still outperforms classical interpolation methods for patient’s motion inferior to 4 mm (Manjon et al., 2010a). In case of large misalignments, the DW data set will not provide reliable measurement and thus a distortion correction procedure has to be applied first to avoid bias during DW image analysis (Mangin et al., 2002; Rohde et al., 2003), independent of using CLASR. Registration-based approaches usually perform a registration of the corrupted DW image to the b0 image, since it is considered to be less subject to distortions. Evaluation of such methods showed a distortion correction accuracy of approximately 2 mm (Netsch and van Muiswinkel, 2004). More experiments should be completed for larger distortions. However, this type of analysis is challenging since in the case of important misalignments a correction step has to be applied first. We showed that such correction introduces image blurring on the gold standard making the validation results ambiguous. The simulation of realistic nonlinear distortions due to eddy current could be an alternative. However, such realistic simulation remains an open problem. From a practical point of view, we suggest to use CLASR without correction for limited misalignments. For large misalignments, the use of distortion correction cannot be avoided. Our experiments indicated that even after blurring, CLASR still outperforms other methods and thus should be used. Finally, if correction fails while large misalignments are present, LASR could be used instead of CLASR.

In this study, we first validated CLASR by reconstructing 1.2 × 1.2 × 1.2 mm³ DW images from simulated 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm³ DW images. This situation was close to the reconstruction of clinical DW images (i.e., typically around 2 × 2 × 2 mm³) at high resolution. During this extensive validation, the quality of image reconstruction for different methods and its impact on diffusion parameters such as FA, GFA, tensor and HARDI estimations were studied. The experiments demonstrated the advantages of using CLASR in all the studied cases. Moreover, we showed that trilinear interpolation was better than B-spline interpolation for FA and GFA estimations, while B-spline interpolation was better than trilinear interpolation for tensor or ODF estimations. In future work, we plan to investigate the interest of using CLASR on standard DW images over a large population. Indeed, the advantage of having DW images with better SNR and better voxel resolution should be analyzed in terms of detection sensitivity using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) analysis (Smith et al., 2006) for instance.
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We also investigated the possibility to obtain UHR DW images on a 3-T scanner. First, we reconstructed UHR DW image by applying our SR method on HR DW image obtained by averaging multiple acquisitions (i.e., using our gold standard). Second, preliminary results of UHR DW image acquired in a compatible clinical time (i.e., using one acquisition) were presented. We showed that UHR DW images reconstructed with CLASR provided better results than the original HR DW images in both cases. Moreover, for the single acquisition experiment, it appeared that the use of efficient denoising steps is crucial. We also analyzed the reproducibility of the experiment using another single acquisition. For studies based on FA map, UHR DW image based on a single acquisition appears promising for a finer analysis of white matter brain structure. However, for studies based on fiber tracking, the use of 2–3 acquisitions might be preferable. Nevertheless, our preliminary results of UHR DW image are encouraging. In future work, we plan to study the interest of using CLASR to analyze fine bundles or difficult crossing areas not easily tractable on usual DW images. In addition, the first qualitative results of fiber tracking proposed in this paper should be more deeply investigated. Although a quantitative analysis of fiber tracking results is very challenging, tools have recently appeared to compare the accuracy and the validity of tracking (Côté et al., 2012; Fillard et al., 2011). Future work should also analyze the impact of the proposed method on fiber tracking algorithms. For instance, fiber ODF reconstruction assumes a deconvolution kernel estimated from the raw data. Therefore, the default parameters for deconvolution might not be optimal for data that has been denoised and upsampled. The optimal setting of state-of-the-art fiber tracking methods on preprocessed data should be also studied. Nonetheless, we believe that fiber tracking on a properly upsampled data is a promising venue to improve tracking results. In practice, this would enable exploration of fiber bundles near the cortical folds and regions of complex fiber crossings.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we proposed a new single image super-resolution method for DW images. The proposed nonlocal patch-based method uses the $b_0$ image to constrain the reconstruction of diffusion weighted images in order to improve reconstruction. Comparison with traditional interpolation methods such as trilinear and B-spline demonstrated the competitive results of our approach in terms of image reconstruction, FA and GFA reconstructions, and angular reconstruction error for tensor and HARDI models. In addition, first results of ultra high resolution DW image were presented at $0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.6$ mm$^3$ and $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$ mm$^3$ for our gold standard based on 10 acquisitions and for single acquisitions. Finally, fiber tracking results were presented to illustrate the potential of the proposed approach.
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